UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/712,539                                 | 11/13/2003                 | Loran Paprocki       | 47563.0008          | 9183             |
| 57600<br>HOLLAND & I                       | 7590 03/19/201<br>HART LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 60 E. South Ter                            | nple, Suite 2000           | EREZO, DARWIN P      |                     |                  |
| P.O. Box 11583<br>Salt Lake City, UT 84110 |                            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| • •  |                            |                      | 3773                |                  |
|  |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|  |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                            |                      | 03/19/2010          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/712,539      | PAPROCKI, LORAN |  |
|                 |                 |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |

|   | Darwin P. Erezo   | 3773  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add  | ress  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance                         | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the (3) a Request                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | g date of the final rejection   | n.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ).<br>on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi | 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Office | e extension fee<br>ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  | W 07.0FD 44.07  | eu i idi i  | 5.11  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties.</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.)   | nsideration and/or search (see NO   |   | cause   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or   | •   | ducing or simplifying th  | ne issues for   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c<br>NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)  |   | ected claims.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  |   | mpliant Amendment (I  | PTOL-324)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   | (1  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |   | timely filed amendmer   | nt canceling the  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-28 and 38-44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   | l be entered and an ex  | xplanation of   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fails   | s to provide a  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach   | ed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan   | ce because:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | /Darwin P. Erezo/   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 3773  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to independent claims 1, 11, 24, 38 and 44 to recite that the second filament being distinct from the first filament changes the scope of the claimed invention and would require further consideration and an updated search.